

2021



# (●) CASPER

CITY OF SUNNYVALE

Q3 – FLIGHT OPERATIONS AND NOISE REPORT

## DISCLAIMER

Casper Airport Solutions, Inc. provides the data in this report on behalf of the City of Sunnyvale for informational purposes only. It has no legal standing and is not recognized as an official source by either the State of California or the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

The City of Sunnyvale is not an airport authority. It has no statutory reporting obligation under Title 21 of the California Department of Transportation.

The sound level meters installed by Casper are certified by the manufacturer Larson Davis to meet all ANSI performance requirements for a Type 1 sound level meter.

The FAA System Wide Information Management (SWIM) flight track position data has a stated minimum accuracy of  $\pm 150$  feet and temporal accuracy of approximately 1 second.

# INTRODUCTION

The City of Sunnyvale's primary goal in procuring a Noise and Operations Monitoring System (NOMS) is to monitor flight activity and the aircraft noise associated with overflights that affect residents living within the city limits. Secondly, to provide this data to interested parties in a transparent and unfiltered way.

The data contained in this report is presented with that goal in mind. On each page, you will find an explanation of how to read the various charts and definitions of the different metrics and data types. What you will not find is any interpretation by the "City" about the data in this report.

For a more detailed explanation of the various noise metrics, general aircraft operations, or ATC procedures discussed in this report, please refer to the Education section of the City of Sunnyvale's NoiseLab website (<https://syv.noiselab.casper.aero>). The website also contains interactive data browsers that allow interested parties to view detailed noise and flight operations statistics concerning areas around the City of Sunnyvale.

When reading this report, it is essential to consider the following factors:

- This report's data may vary from San Jose International Airport's (SJC) information as this report does not include South Flow arrivals to SJC that did not overfly the "City".
- Not every aircraft overflight is captured by one of the four NMTs due to spikes in ambient noise levels, which may obscure the aircraft event or environmental factors such as wind speed and direction.
- The maximum noise level of a specific flight may vary based on the point of closest approach (PCA) slant distance and altitude of the aircraft in relation to the NMT. In addition, aircraft engine power settings, flap position, and landing gear state also influence the maximum noise level and event duration.
- The City's noise monitors capture noise events from all sources such as people, vehicles, animals, trains, and planes. The NOMS system analyzes every noise event captured by each NMT to determine if it meets the parameters to identify it as an aircraft noise event. Once the system identifies the source of a noise event as an aircraft, the NOMS system then attempts to match the noise event based on the time stamp, altitude, and lateral distance from the noise monitor to a specific flight.

## 24 HOUR VIEW OF AIRCRAFT NOISE

Most aircraft overfly the City during daytime hours between 7:00 AM and 7:00 PM when ambient noise levels tend to be higher due to increased community activity. Aircraft overflight volumes during the evening (7:00 PM to 10:00 PM) and nighttime (10:00 PM to 7:00 AM) periods are significantly reduced. However, individual events during these periods can be more disturbing to residents due to the lower ambient noise levels in the City. Below is the breakdown of flights by time of day in Q3-2021.

### SUNNYVALE OVERFLIGHTS BY TIME OF DAY



DAY = 9,840

EVENING = 1,102

NIGHT = 567

# SJC AIRPORT SOUTH FLOW DATA FOR Q3 – 2021

One of the City of Sunnyvale's primary objectives in installing a Noise and Operations Monitoring System (NOMS) was to monitor and report on South Flow arrivals that overfly the City on their approach to land to Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport (SJC).

There are two types of approaches flown by airplanes landing in South Flow at SJC Airport. The widely dispersed ILS/Visual approach is shown in yellow. The highly concentrated RNP approach is shown in blue on the facing page.


The ILS/Visual approach has a wide lateral footprint because the pilots are flying vectors (headings) under ATC's direction. The controller determines their turn onto the final approach. By contrast, the RNP approach is a precision instrument procedure that utilizes fixed GPS waypoints that must be precisely overflown and have very small lateral error tolerances.



Because of the wide dispersion caused by the ILS/Visual approach, a single noise monitoring terminal (NMT) cannot capture a noise event for each flight. However, the concentrated flight path of the RNP approach makes it possible to capture noise events at SYV-2 Dona Ave. for the vast majority of aircraft flying this approach.

In the 3rd Quarter of 2021, the Casper system successfully captured noise events for 93% of the total South Flow RNP approaches flown to SJC Airport, the distribution of which is shown in the chart below.

The chart at right illustrates the maximum noise level (L<sub>max</sub>) recorded at the Dona Ave (SYV-2) permanent noise monitor for aircraft that flew the RNP Approach to Runway 12L or 12R at SJC Airport and passed over the City of Sunnyvale.



The number above each bar represents the total number of aircraft noise events in which the L<sub>max</sub> fell within the specific five decibel bucket identified on the chart.





### SOUTH FLOW APPROACHES IN Q3-2021 July 1 – September 30

|                         | ILS/Visual | RNP        |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| Average Altitude ft.    | 3,226      | 3,195      |
| Runway 12L App.         | 45         | 48         |
| Runway 12R App.         | 517        | 356        |
| <b>Total Approaches</b> | <b>562</b> | <b>404</b> |




■ ILS/Visual Approach ■ RNP Approach

### PEAK SOUTH FLOW DAY IN Q3-2021 September 1

|                         | ILS/Visual | RNP       |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Average Altitude ft.    | 3,151      | 3,189     |
| Runway 12L App.         | 4          | 8         |
| Runway 12R App.         | 35         | 29        |
| <b>Total Approaches</b> | <b>39</b>  | <b>37</b> |

The following charts detail the Peak South Flow Approach Day Top 10 loudest (by Lmax) noise events measured at SYV-1 and SYV-2 noise monitoring terminals (NMTs) during the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter. Keep in mind that these events only pertain to aircraft that overflowed the City of Sunnyvale on a South Flow Approach to SJC Airport and were not necessarily the loudest overall for the Quarter. For information on the loudest overall aircraft noise events, see the individual NMT summaries starting on page 10.


### SYV-1 PEAK SOUTH FLOW DAY A/C NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021



The chart at left illustrates the Top 10 SJC South Flow Peak Day aircraft noise events ranked by maximum noise level (Lmax) as measured at SYV-1 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter of 2021. Below each bar is the date of the event and the four-letter ICAO aircraft code of the aircraft type involved.

Events with no aircraft type denote that an aircraft type was not identified in the FAA data.

### SYV-2 PEAK SOUTH FLOW DAY A/C NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021




The chart at left illustrates the Top 10 SJC South Flow Peak Day aircraft noise events ranked by maximum noise level (Lmax) as measured at SYV-2 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter of 2021. Below each bar is the date of the event and the four-letter ICAO aircraft code of the aircraft type involved.


Events with no aircraft type denote that an aircraft type was not identified in the FAA data.

The following charts show the most frequent aircraft types and operators to fly the South Flow approach to SJC for the Current Quarter and the Peak South Flow Approach Day. The total for each column is derived by adding the number of ILS/Visual, and RNP approaches flown. Keep in mind that this data only pertains to aircraft that overflowed the City of Sunnyvale on a South Flow Approach to land at SJC Airport.


**SOUTH FLOW APPROACH BY AIRCRAFT TYPE IN Q3-2021**




**PEAK SOUTH FLOW DAY APPROACH BY AIRCRAFT TYPE IN Q3-2021**



**SOUTH FLOW APPROACH BY OPERATOR IN Q3-2021**




**PEAK SOUTH FLOW DAY APPROACH BY OPERATOR IN Q3-2021**





# CITY OF SUNNYVALE OVERFLIGHT DATA FOR Q3 – 2021




While SJC Airport is the closest major airport to the City of Sunnyvale, residents are overflowed by aircraft arriving and departing from many different airports in the region. The data in this section quantifies overflights associated with each of the eight primary airports of interest listed below and helps explain the distribution of flights across the four zip codes that fall within the City limits.

## AIRPORTS OF INTEREST


- KHWD – Hayward Executive Airport
- KNUQ – Moffett Federal Airfield
- KOAK – Metro Oakland Int’l Airport
- KPAO – Palo Alto Airport
- KRHV – Reid-Hillview Airport of Santa Clara County
- KSFO – San Francisco Int’l Airport
- KSJC – Norman Y Mineta San Jose Int’l Airport
- KSQL – San Carlos Airport

## CITY OF SUNNYVALE OVERFLIGHTS BY AIRPORT




The City of Sunnyvale is comprised of four primary zip codes (94085, 94086, 94087, 94089), which bisect the City forming four unique zones from North to South. The volume of overflights on any given day can vary significantly across the City. Seasonal wind patterns play the most significant role in dictating the arrival and departure patterns into a specific airport. The current wind direction largely determines which Sunnyvale neighborhoods are overflowed by aircraft during their arrival or departure to that airport. The charts below illustrate the pattern of overflights for each of the four zip codes for the 3rd Quarter of 2021.


OVERFLIGHTS BY AIRPORT IN ZIP CODE 94085




OVERFLIGHTS BY AIRPORT IN ZIP CODE 94086



OVERFLIGHTS BY AIRPORT IN ZIP CODE 94087




OVERFLIGHTS BY AIRPORT IN ZIP CODE 94089




# SYV-1 ORTEGA PARK NMT DATA Q3 – 2021

Noise Monitoring Terminal SYV-1 is in Ortega Park. Its primary purpose is to capture RNP arrivals to SJC Airport in South Flow and secondarily flight activity associated with Moffett Field, Palo Alto, Reid-Hillview, and San Carlos Airports. The image below is an aerial depicting the location of the NMT in the park and a photo of the installed equipment.



**SYV-1 TOP 10 AIRCRAFT NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021**




The chart at left illustrates the Top 10 aircraft noise events ranked by maximum noise level (Lmax) as measured at SYV-1 in the 3rd Quarter of 2021. Below each bar is the date of the event and the four-letter ICAO aircraft code of the aircraft type involved.

Events with no aircraft type denote that an aircraft type was not identified in the FAA data.


The charts below illustrate the relationship between aircraft and community noise and their contribution to the total noise environment measured by the noise monitoring terminal SYV-1 in Ortega Park.

### SYV-1 TOTAL RECORDED NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021




Noise events captured by the NMTs are analyzed on the fly as they happen. Based on their categorization as community or aircraft noise, the system automatically calculates an hourly Aircraft, Community, and Total LEQ (equivalent continuous sound level). Equivalent signifies that the total acoustical energy associated with the continually fluctuating noise level (during the specified period); in this case, a month is equal to the total acoustic energy associated with the steady noise level. Hourly LEQs are averaged to derive a Daily LEQs, which is averaged to derive a monthly LEQ. The Total LEQ considers both the aircraft and community contributions to the noise environment.

### SYV-1 LEQ NOISE LEVEL FOR Q3-2021




# SYV-2 DONA AVE NMT DATA Q3 – 2021

Noise Monitoring Terminal SYV-2 is on Dona Ave. Its primary purpose is to capture RNP arrivals to SJC Airport in South Flow and secondarily flight activity associated with Moffett Field, Palo Alto, Reid-Hillview, and San Carlos Airports. The image below is an aerial depicting the location of the NMT and a photo of the installed equipment.



## SYV-2 TOP 10 AIRCRAFT NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021




The chart at left illustrates the Top 10 aircraft noise events ranked by maximum noise level (Lmax) as measured at SYV-2 in the 3rd Quarter of 2021. Below each bar is the date of the event and the four-letter ICAO aircraft code of the aircraft type involved.

Events with no aircraft type denote that an aircraft type was not identified in the FAA data.


The charts below illustrate the relationship between aircraft and community noise and their contribution to the total noise environment measured by the noise monitoring terminal SYV-2 on Dona Avenue.

### SYV-2 TOTAL RECORDED NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021




Noise events captured by the NMTs are analyzed on the fly as they happen. Based on their categorization as community or aircraft noise, the system automatically calculates an hourly Aircraft, Community, and Total LEQ (equivalent continuous sound level). Equivalent signifies that the total acoustical energy associated with the continually fluctuating noise level (during the specified period); in this case, a month is equal to the total acoustic energy associated with the steady noise level. Hourly LEQs are averaged to derive a Daily LEQs, which is averaged to derive a monthly LEQ. The Total LEQ considers both the aircraft and community contributions to the noise environment.

### SYV-2 LEQ NOISE LEVEL FOR Q3-2021




# SYV-3 COMMUNITY CENTER PARK NMT DATA Q3 – 2021

Noise Monitoring Terminal SYV-3 is in Community Center Park. Its primary purpose is to capture RNP arrivals to SJV Airport in South Flow and secondarily flight activity associated with Moffett Field, Palo Alto, Reid-Hillview, and San Carlos Airports. The image below is an aerial depicting the location of the NMT and a photo of the installed equipment.



## SYV-3 TOP 10 AIRCRAFT NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021




The chart at left illustrates the Top 10 aircraft noise events ranked by maximum noise level (Lmax) as measured at SYV-3 in the 3rd Quarter of 2021. Below each bar is the date of the event and the four-letter ICAO aircraft code of the aircraft type involved.

Events with no aircraft type denote that an aircraft type was not identified in the FAA data.


The charts below illustrate the relationship between aircraft and community noise and their contribution to the total noise environment measured by the noise monitoring terminal SYV-3 in Community Center Park.

### SYV-3 TOTAL RECORDED NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021



Noise events captured by the NMTs are analyzed on the fly as they happen. Based on their categorization as community or aircraft noise, the system automatically calculates an hourly Aircraft, Community, and Total LEQ (equivalent continuous sound level). Equivalent signifies that the total acoustical energy associated with the continually fluctuating noise level (during the specified period); in this case, a month is equal to the total acoustic energy associated with the steady noise level. Hourly LEQs are averaged to derive a Daily LEQs, which is averaged to derive a monthly LEQ. The Total LEQ considers both the aircraft and community contributions to the noise environment.


### SYV-3 LEQ NOISE LEVEL FOR Q3-2021






# SYV-4 WASHINGTON PARK NMT DATA Q3 – 2021

Noise Monitoring Terminal SYV-4 is in Washington Park. Its primary purpose is to capture RNP arrivals to SJC Airport in South Flow and secondarily flight activity associated with Moffett Field, Palo Alto, Reid-Hillview, and San Carlos Airports. The image below is an aerial depicting the location of the NMT and a photo of the installed equipment.



**SYV-4 TOP 10 AIRCRAFT NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021**




The chart at left illustrates the Top 10 aircraft noise events ranked by maximum noise level (Lmax) as measured at SYV-4 in the 3rd Quarter of 2021. Below each bar is the date of the event and the four-letter ICAO aircraft code of the aircraft type involved.

Events with no aircraft type denote that an aircraft type was not identified in the FAA data.


The charts below illustrate the relationship between aircraft and community noise and their contribution to the total noise environment measured by the noise monitoring terminal SYV-4 in Washington Park.

### SYV-4 TOTAL RECORDED NOISE EVENTS IN Q3-2021



Noise events captured by the NMTs are analyzed on the fly as they happen. Based on their categorization as community or aircraft noise, the system automatically calculates an hourly Aircraft, Community, and Total LEQ (equivalent continuous sound level). Equivalent signifies that the total acoustical energy associated with the continually fluctuating noise level (during the specified period); in this case, a month is equal to the total acoustic energy associated with the steady noise level. Hourly LEQs are averaged to derive a Daily LEQs, which is averaged to derive a monthly LEQ. The Total LEQ considers both the aircraft and community contributions to the noise environment.

### SYV-4 LEQ NOISE LEVELS FOR Q3-2021



# ICAO AIRCRAFT CODE REFERENCE

Below is a list of the ICAO aircraft codes referenced in the Top-10 Aircraft Noise Event charts.

| ICAO Aircraft Code | Common Name                                | Type                        |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| A109               | <a href="#">AugustaWestland 109</a>        | General Aviation Helicopter |
| A124               | <a href="#">Antonov An-124 Ruslan</a>      | Cargo Jet                   |
| A306               | <a href="#">Airbus A300-600</a>            | Commercial Jet              |
| A21N               | <a href="#">Airbus A321neo</a>             | Commercial Jet              |
| A319               | <a href="#">Airbus A319-100</a>            | Commercial Jet              |
| A320               | <a href="#">Airbus A320-200</a>            | Commercial Jet              |
| AC50               | <a href="#">Aero Commander 500</a>         | General Aviation Piston     |
| AJET               | <a href="#">Dassault Alpha Jet</a>         | Military Jet Trainer        |
| B38M               | <a href="#">Boeing 737 MAX 8</a>           | Commercial Jet              |
| B407               | <a href="#">Bell Helicopter 407</a>        | General Aviation Helicopter |
| B430               | <a href="#">Bell Helicopter 430</a>        | General Aviation Helicopter |
| B737               | <a href="#">Boeing 737-700</a>             | Commercial Jet              |
| B738               | <a href="#">Boeing 737-800</a>             | Commercial Jet              |
| B763               | <a href="#">Boeing 767-300</a>             | Commercial Jet              |
| BCS3               | <a href="#">Airbus A220-300</a>            | Commercial Jet              |
| BE9L               | <a href="#">Beechcraft 90 King Air</a>     | General Aviation Turboprop  |
| BE35               | <a href="#">Beechcraft 35 Bonanza</a>      | General Aviation Piston     |
| BE36               | <a href="#">Beechcraft 36 Bonanza</a>      | General Aviation Piston     |
| C25B               | <a href="#">Cessna Citation CJ3</a>        | Business Jet                |
| C68A               | <a href="#">Cessna Citation Latitude</a>   | Business Jet                |
| C172               | <a href="#">Cessna Skyhawk</a>             | General Aviation Piston     |
| C180               | <a href="#">Cessna 180 Skywagon</a>        | General Aviation Piston     |
| C182               | <a href="#">Cessna 182 Skylane</a>         | General Aviation Piston     |
| C185               | <a href="#">Cessna 185 Skywagon</a>        | General Aviation Piston     |
| C206               | <a href="#">Cessna 206 Stationair</a>      | General Aviation Piston     |
| C208               | <a href="#">Cessna 208 Caravan</a>         | General Aviation Turboprop  |
| C414               | <a href="#">Cessna 414 Chancellor</a>      | General Aviation Piston     |
| CL30               | <a href="#">Bombardier Challenger 300</a>  | Business Jet                |
| CL35               | <a href="#">Bombardier Challenger 350</a>  | Business Jet                |
| CL60               | <a href="#">Bombardier Challenger 600</a>  | Business Jet                |
| E300               | <a href="#">Extra EA-300</a>               | General Aviation Piston     |
| E75L               | <a href="#">Embraer 175 (Long Winglet)</a> | Commercial Jet              |
| EC45               | <a href="#">Airbus Helicopters EC-145</a>  | General Aviation Helicopter |
| FA50               | <a href="#">Dassault Falcon 50</a>         | Business Jet                |
| GL5T               | <a href="#">Bombardier Global 5000</a>     | Business Jet                |
| GLEK               | <a href="#">Bombardier Global Express</a>  | Business Jet                |
| GLF3               | <a href="#">Gulfstream III</a>             | Business Jet                |
| GLF6               | <a href="#">Gulfstream G650</a>            | Business Jet                |
| H25B               | <a href="#">Hawker Beechcraft 800</a>      | Business Jet                |
| HELO               | Generic Helicopter                         | General Aviation Helicopter |
| LJ60               | <a href="#">Bombardier Learjet 60</a>      | Business Jet                |
| PC12               | <a href="#">Pilatus PC-12</a>              | General Aviation Turboprop  |
| S22T               | <a href="#">Cirrus SR22 Turbocharged</a>   | General Aviation Piston     |
| T6                 | <a href="#">North American T-6 Texan</a>   | Military Piston Trainer     |

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry, no matter how small, should be recorded to ensure the integrity of the financial statements. This includes not only sales and purchases but also expenses, income, and transfers between accounts.

Next, the document outlines the process of reconciling bank statements with the company's records. It stresses the need to identify and explain any discrepancies, such as outstanding checks or bank errors, to ensure that the books are in balance. Regular reconciliation is presented as a key practice for preventing errors and detecting fraud.

The following section covers the preparation of the income statement and balance sheet. It provides a step-by-step guide on how to calculate net income and determine the company's financial position at a specific point in time. The document also includes a checklist of items to verify before finalizing these statements.

Finally, the document concludes with advice on how to present the financial statements to management and other stakeholders. It suggests using clear, concise language and highlighting key trends and insights to facilitate informed decision-making. The overall goal is to ensure that the financial information is accurate, reliable, and easy to understand.